

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,902		11/26/2003	Mike Smith	FIRE 0120 PUS	1171	
22045	7590	05/24/2004		. EXAM	. EXAMINER	
BROOK	S KUSH	MAN P.C.	BARRETT, SUZAI	BARRETT, SUZANNE LALE DINO		
	WN CENT Y-SECON	TER D FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SOUTH	FIELD, M	I 48075	3676	3676		
				DATE MAILED: 05/24/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			3	
	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	~-
	10/723	3,902	SMITH, MIKE	
Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit	
		ne Dino Barrett	3676	
The MAILING DATE of this comp Period for Reply	munication appears on	the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the proving after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this limit of the period for reply specified above is less than the lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximus Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704.	IUNICATION. isions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no communication. irty (30) days, a reply within the um statutory period will apply an reply will, by statute, cause the nths after the mailing date of this	o event, however, may a reply be statutory minimum of thirty (30) d d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this comm	nunication.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Novembe</u>	<u>r 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action i	s non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condi				erits is
closed in accordance with the pr	ractice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in t	he application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from	consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to				
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	striction and/or election	n requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	y the Examiner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 Noven</u>	<u>nber 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠	accepted or b) ☐ object	cted to by the Examine	er.
Applicant may not request that any o				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu				
11) The oath or declaration is objecte	ed to by the Examiner.	Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla		under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None o	f:			
1.☐ Certified copies of the prio				
2. Certified copies of the prio				
3. Copies of the certified copies			ved in this National Sta	ge
application from the Internation			.o.d	
* See the attached detailed Office a	CHOILLOL & HSCOLTUG CG	runea copies not receiv	vea.	ì
				· market
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Reviews 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1448) 	w (PTO-948) P.or. PTO/SR/08\	Paper No(s)/Mail E	Date Patent Application (PTO-152	2)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/26/03</u> .	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	6) Other:		-,
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Sumn	nary P	art of Paper No./Mail Date 0	5162004

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "38" has been used to designate both the base and the rod in Figure 1. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 1, "ant-prying" is misspelled and should be –anti-prying--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3,6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Vick 5,873,273. Vick teaches an anti-prying device for a hinged door comprising a base 8 having a plurality of anchor holes 7 to receive bolts or screws (col. 5, lines 44-53) and a rod member 2 disposed between the floor surface and the door hinge. It is noted that

Art Unit: 3676

the safe is not positively claimed and therefor, the limitations regarding the intended use of the device on a safe are not accorded patentable weight.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 4,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vick '273. OFFICIAL NOTICE is taken that the use of anti-tamper anchor bolts such as non-removable or expandable anchor bolts is well known in the lock art for preventing tampering with the mount of a lock device. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the bolts used to mount the base of Vick by providing anti-tamper bolts as is well known in the art.
- 7. Claims 10-12,15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walsh 5,845,433 in view of Vick '273. Walsh teaches a safe having a base and anti-prying member 77 extending from the base 15 but fails to provide an externally mounted base and rod. Vick teaches an anti-prying device for a hinged door comprising a base 8 having a plurality of anchor holes 7 to receive bolts or screws (col. 5, lines 44-53) and a rod member 2 disposed between the floor surface and the door hinge. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the anti-prying device of Walsh by providing an external anti-prying device as taught by Vick to be

Art Unit: 3676

advantageous in preventing outward prying of a door. It is noted that the method limitations of claims 18-20 are considered inherent to the use of the combined teachings of Walsh and Vick as discussed.

8. Claims 13,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walsh '433 in view of Vick '273 as applied to claim 12 above. OFFICIAL NOTICE is taken that the use of anti-tamper anchor bolts such as non-removable or expandable anchor bolts is well known in the lock art for preventing tampering with the mount of a lock device. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the bolts used to mount the base of Vick by providing anti-tamper bolts as is well known in the art.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne Dino Barrett whose telephone number is 703-308-0825. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:30-7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 703-308-3179. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9326.

Art Unit: 3676

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1020.

Suzanne Dino Barrett Primary Examiner Art Unit 3676

sdb